



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/390,363	09/07/1999	JON N. LEONARD	BEU/LEONARD	6725
75	90 07/21/2004		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS 625 SLATERS LANE 4TH FLOOR			DADA, BEEMNET W	
	LANE 41H FLOOR A, VA 223141176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2135	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	M
Advisory Action	09/390,363	LEONARD ET AL.) V
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Beemnet W Dada	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
HE REPLY FILED 26 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE nerefore, further action by the applicant is required all rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either andition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme opeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply tent which places the application	to a on in
	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	,
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailin			,
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exported for the first REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The state of the first purposes of determining the period for the first purposes of determining the period for the first purpose for the expiration data.	xpire later than SIX MONTHS from to WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT The date on which the petition under period of extension and the correspor	the mailing date of the final rejection HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approp nding amount of the fee. The approp	i. iee MPEP oriate extension oriate extension
as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the nely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	e Office later than three months afte e 37 CFR 1.704(b).	er the mailing date of the final rejecti	on, even if
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ed because:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require t	further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	tion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simp	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	•		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed a	mendment
∑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT	place the
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which were	newly
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amended explanation of how the new or amended claim	ment(s) a) will not be entenns would be rejected is provide	red or b)⊡ will be entered an ded below or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-50</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	oment/s)/ PTO 1449) Paper	No(s).	
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat	emenus)(F10-1443)1 aper	· / ———	

Application/Control Number: 09/390,363

Art Unit: 2135

Attachment I

1. with respect to claims 18-33, the applicant argues that Anderson fails to teach an electronic mail system that implements limitations selected by the originator of a message, using a viewer applet installed on the recipient's computer to implement the originator-selected controls by preventing decryption of the message unless the controls are implemented. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Anderson teaches an electronic mail system that implements limitations (i.e., message expiration time) selected by the originator of a message [column 3, lines 61-67], and a recipient (a message receiver, with web browser software, i.e., viewer applet, see figure 1, unit 155, and column 4, lines 13-16) receiving an indicator message, including locally-stored messages [column 3, lines 47-57 and column 4, lines 7-14]. Furthermore, Anderson teaches deleting stored message from a central mail server [see Anderson, column 7, lines 24-30], and from a recipient [column 11, lines 3-8] upon expiration of time. It is true that Anderson does not teach preventing decryption of messages unless controls are implemented, however the claimed invention of claims 18-33 does not teach preventing decryption of messages unless controls are implemented. Instead claims 18-33 operate by deleting messages after expiration of time from a central server or a viewer applet as taught by Anderson.

2. With respect to claims 1-17 and 34-50, the applicant argues that Anderson and Udell fail to teach an electronic mail system that implements limitations selected by the originator of a message, using a viewer applet installed on the recipient's computer to implement the

Application/Control Number: 09/390,363 Page 3

Art Unit: 2135

originator-selected controls by preventing decryption of the message unless the controls are implemented. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Anderson teaches electronic mail system as discussed above. Udell teaches a viewer applet ((i.e., an application program for viewing emails), see page 6, paragraph 0064) arranged to prevent decryption and viewing of encrypted electronic mail message by a recipient unless processing limitations are implemented (i.e., message expirations are valid, see page 2, paragraph 0019 and page 8, paragraph 0072).

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100